Combining echocardiography and fluoroscopy imaging in real time for left atrial appendage occlusion – single center experience from Poland



Marian Burysz¹, Jakub Batko², Michalina Helena Malec-Litwinowicz³, Mariusz Kowalewski⁴, Radosław Adam Litwinowicz¹, Aleksandra Burysz⁵, Łukasz Graczykowski⁶, Wojciech Olejek²

¹Department of Cardiac Surgery, Regional Specialist Hospital, Grudziądz, Poland

²CAROL – Cardiothoracic Anatomy Research Operative Lab, Department of Cardiovascular Surgery and Transplantology, Institute of Cardiology, Jagiellonian University Medical College, Krakow, Poland

³Department of Neurology, Faculty of Medicine, *Collegium Medicum* in Bydgoszcz, Nicolaus Copernicus University in Torun, Poland

⁴Thoracic Research Centre, *Collegium Medicum* Nicolaus Copernicus University, Innovative Medical Forum, Bydgoszcz, Poland ⁵Department of Thoracic Surgery and Tumors, Oncology Centre, Prof. Łukaszczyk Memorial Hospital, Bydgoszcz, Poland ⁶Department of Cardiology, Specialist Hospital, Wloclawek, Poland

Kardiochirurgia i Torakochirurgia Polska 2024; 21 (1): 30-34

Abstract

Introduction: Atrial fibrillation (AF) presents a growing health concern, often requiring stroke prevention measures, primarily through oral anticoagulation (OAC). Surgical interventions such as left atrial appendage occlusion (LAAO) offer alternatives when OAC is contraindicated. In recent years, percutaneous procedures have gained traction as minimally invasive options, demanding precise anatomical insights. Fusion imaging (FI), which combines transesophageal echocardiography (TEE) and fluoroscopy, has emerged as a potential game-changer in transcatheter interventions.

Aim: This study introduces FI to LAAO procedures in Poland, assessing its role in guiding interventions, highlighting advantages, and exploring its potential to reshape cardiovascular interventions.

Material and methods: We conducted a retrospective study involving LAAO procedures from March 2015 to December 2018, all utilizing FI. Patient indications, procedural specifics, and safety metrics were collected and analyzed. Follow-ups were conducted at 3 and 6 months.

Results: A cohort of 83 patients (mean age: 72.1 ±8.4 years) underwent successful LAAO procedures. FI provided precise device placement and anatomical assessment. Mean procedure time was 54.9 ±34.3 min, contrast medium usage averaged 33.7 ±22.7 ml, and creatinine levels remained stable. Patients were discharged in about 4.2 ±3.4 days. Adverse effects were rare, including minimal bleeding and cardiac tamponade. Follow-ups demonstrated favorable outcomes with low adverse event rates.

Conclusions: This study marks the inaugural application of FI in Polish LAAO procedures. FI, offering enhanced visualization and reduced procedure times, holds promise in improving patient safety and treatment efficacy. We recommend its consideration as a standard visualization technique for LAAO procedures.

Key words: atrial fibrillation, left atrial appendage occlusion, fusion imaging, percutaneous intervention, cardiovascular procedures.

Introduction

Atrial fibrillation

Atrial fibrillation (AF) is the most common arrhythmia in the world [1]. Patients with AF require stroke prevention treatment due to increased risk of thromboembolic events [1–3]. Standard treatment involves oral anticoagulation (OAC). However, for patients in whom OAC treatment is contraindicated, surgical stroke prevention can be established. One such procedure is left atrial appendage occlusion (LAAO).

Percutaneous interventions in left atrial appendage management

In recent years, percutaneous interventions have emerged as pivotal approaches in managing cardiovascular conditions, offering minimally invasive alternatives to traditional surgical methods [2]. Several closure methods have been developed, both endocardial and epicardial [4]. Left atrial appendage occlusion proved to be reliable stroke-preventing treatment [2]. However, for all percutaneous interventions topographical information of patients'

anatomical variability plays a crucial role in the outcome of the procedure. Insufficient visualization of organs' structure can cause damage inflicted with devices introduced into vessels.

Fusion imaging in transcatheter cardiac procedure

In the realm of transcatheter procedures, the convergence of medical imaging technologies has ushered in a paradigm shift, elevating the precision and efficacy of cardiovascular procedures to unprecedented heights. The concept of fusion imaging (FI) has emerged as a pivotal strategy, unifying transesophageal echocardiography (TEE) and fluoroscopy into a singular, synergistic toolset. This dynamic synergy empowers clinicians with real-time, multi-dimensional insights, amalgamating the exquisite anatomical detail of TEE with the fluoroscopic visualization of catheter movement and device deployment [5]. By fusing these complementary modalities, operators gain a comprehensive understanding of cardiac anatomy, device positioning, and procedural progress, thereby ushering in a new era of enhanced patient outcomes and procedural excellence.

Separate imaging downsides

Fluoroscopy allows for the visualization of catheters and blood flow if contrast is used. However, the imaging of heart muscle is severely limited by the amount of contrast medium possible to use [3]. TEE can accurately show the heart, but catheters and other devices are poorly visible [5]. Combining the two images from two displays is crucial for the outcome of the procedure yet involves great experience and effort from the interventionalist.

Aim

The aim of our study was to present, for the first time in Poland, the application of the fusion imaging system in LAAO procedures, while also exploring the crucial role of this system in guiding LAAO interventions, underscoring its advantages, influence on procedural outcomes, and its potential to revolutionize the field of cardiovascular interventions.

Material and methods

Study design

A single-center retrospective study was performed including patients who underwent an LAAO procedure between March 2015 and December 2018. During all procedures FI was used. The most common indications for LAAO procedure were bleeding from gastrointestinal tract (57.8%; n = 48) and stroke despite antithrombotic treatment (25.3%; n = 21). Detailed indications for the LAAO procedure in included patients can be found in Table I.

Procedure

The LAAO procedure in detail was described in our previous papers. Briefly, the LAAO procedure entails percutaneous closure of the left atrial appendage (LAA) using

Table I. Baseline patient characteristics (n = 83)

| Variable | n (%) or mean ± SD |
|--|--------------------|
| Sex, female, n (%) | 36 (43.4%) |
| Age | 72.1 ±8.4 |
| CHA ₂ DS ₂ -VASc score | 5.0 ±1.5 |
| HAS-BLED | 4.4 ±0.9 |
| Gastrointestinal hemorrhage | 48 (57.8%) |
| Stroke on antithrombotic drugs | 21 (25.3%) |
| Cerebrovascular hemorrhage | 6 (7.2%) |
| Thrombus in LAA on OAC | 5 (6.0%) |
| Bladder hemorrhage | 2 (2.4%) |
| Vitreous hemorrhage | 1 (1.2%) |

the Amplatzer Amulet or the LAmbre endocardial occluders. After patient heparinization, a catheter is introduced through the femoral vein into the right atrium. Subsequently, a transseptal puncture is performed, and the occluder is positioned within the left atrial appendage.

Fusion imaging

As described by Balzer et al., the EchoNavigator Release II (Philips, Best, Netherlands) system is a multimodal approach that synchronizes live echocardiography and fluoroscopy images in real time [6]. A calibration algorithm tracks the movement of the TEE probe using fluoroscopy. This is achieved through an image-based TEE probe localization algorithm and calibration procedure. After synchronization, the echocardiographic images from TEE automatically follow the motion of the fluoroscopic C-arm. The results of the coregistration process are displayed in a form that allows simultaneous visualization of an X-ray image and up to three echocardiographic views. The X-ray view shows the actual fluoroscopic image. The probe must be centered in this view to allow accurate coregistration of the probe TEE. If this is successful, the probe is displayed with a green outline; if not, e.g. after significant movement of the TEE probe, the probe is displayed with a red outline. The echo view shows images that can only be processed by the echocardiologist.

In the C-arm view, the beam path (i.e., the echocone) of the matrix array transducer is shown as a purple sector corresponding to the position of the TEE probe. Changes in the angulation, rotation, or position of the TEE probe are automatically displayed in this view. The free view displays 2D and 3D information that can be rotated, cropped, zoomed, or segmented by the operator using a sterile cover. Multiplanar reconstruction (MPR) software provides tools for 3D volume segmentation along the three axes (x, y, z) in real time or during post-processing, as well as quantitative analysis. Specific points of interest can be marked and are immediately displayed on the fluoroscopic image. These markers then serve as target points for guiding catheter manipulations. Intraoperative images of FI during LAAO are presented in Figures 1 and 2.



Figure 1. Intraoperative fusion image with calibrated echocardiography and fluoroscopy



Figure 2. Intraoperative fusion image. 3D echocardiography combined with fluoroscopy image

Outcome parameters

In order to assess safety and efficiency of the procedure several parameters were measured: duration of the procedure, amount of contrast medium used, creatinine level after the procedure, overall days spent in hospital after the procedure. All adverse effects caused by LAAO were reported. A follow-up was conducted after 3 and 6 months

involving physical examination with echocardiography. Two patients were lost to the first follow-up and another one was lost to the second follow-up.

Statistical analysis

Collected data were tested for normality using the Shapiro-Wilk test, tabulated, and reported as means with stan-

dard deviations or numbers and percentages. Statistical analyses were performed using IBM SPSS Statistics (IBM Corp. Released 2021. IBM SPSS Statistics for Windows, version 29.0. Armonk, NY: IBM Corp).

Results

Study population

Our study was conducted on 83 patients (43.4% female; n = 36) with mean age of 72.1 ±8.4. Mean stroke and bleeding risk scores were calculated: CHA₂DS₂-VASc score: 5.0 ±1.5 and HAS-BLED score: 4.4 ±0.9.

Procedural data

All LAAO procedures were successful (n=83). Mean total procedure time was 54.9 ± 34.3 min. The amount of contrast medium was 33.7 ± 22.7 ml. Mean creatinine level after the procedure was 1 ± 0.5 mg/dl. On average patients were discharged 4.2 ± 3.4 days after the procedure. In 90.4% of patients (n=75) no procedural adverse effects were reported. Bleeding from the femoral vein occurred in 4 (4.8%) patients, in 3 (3.6%) patients there was cardiac tamponade and 1 (1.2%) patient died on the fourth day after surgery.

Follow-up

A 3-month follow-up did not show any pathologies in 74 (91.4%) patients, with 2 (2.5%) patients having thrombi related to the occluding device. A 6-month follow-up did not reveal any adverse effects in 76 (97.4%) patients. Comprehensive follow-up data are presented in Table II.

Discussion

This study is the first report on use of IF in LAAO. In our experience this method is easy to implement and provides better and more intuitive information on localization of the devices and local anatomy.

Over a decade after its inaugural application, LAAO continues to bring about a transformative shift in the approach to mitigating stroke risk among patients afflicted with nonvalvular AF [7]. Numerous investigations have demonstrated favorable short- and mid-term outcomes associated with the LAAO procedure, even among patients at elevated risk [4, 8]. Its adoption has evolved into a global norm. In 2020, the European Society of Cardiology guidelines endorsed LAAO as a viable alternative for AF patients unable to undergo OAC therapy [2]. Currently, LAAO has become a standard procedure performed in hybrid operating rooms or catheterization laboratories[2]. Despite the procedure's prevalence, LAAO itself is technically demanding, requiring the operator's precise mastery of cardiac structural anatomy, while the LAA itself exhibits diverse anatomy and delicate, thin-walled musculature [9].

Apart from occluding methods there are epicardial and endocardial left atrial appendage closure techniques [4], [8, 10]. Regardless of method used, safety and effectiveness of the procedure strongly rely on presurgical imaging showing the local topography, which is common for all types

Table II. Complications and follow-up outcomes

| Complications | N (%) |
|---------------------------------|-----------|
| Patients $(n = 83)$: | |
| None | 75 (90.4) |
| Femoral artery bleeding | 4 (4.8) |
| Tamponade | 3 (3.6) |
| Death | 1 (1.2) |
| 3-month follow-up $(n = 81)$ | |
| No pathology | 74 (91.4) |
| Bleeding | 2 (2.5) |
| Death | 2 (2.5) |
| Thrombus in occluder | 2 (2.5) |
| Tamponade | 1 (1.2) |
| 6-month follow-up ($n = 78$): | |
| No pathology | 76 (97.4) |
| Bleeding | 1 (1.3) |
| Death | 1 (1.3) |

of cardiac surgery [11, 12]. What is also important during left atrial appendage procedures is its anatomy regarding differences in structure of the LAA in patients with atrial fibrillation [9, 13]. In patients with AF the LAA is significantly larger but the precise mechanism of remodeling is yet to be discovered [9].

Recently fusion image technique has proven to be an important tool in percutaneous procedures. It is utilized in treatment of structural and congenital heart defects [14–16]. Merging views from fluoroscopy and TEE provides complex information about heart structure and device localization allowing for better execution of the procedure [17].

Growing experience with fusion imaging confirms that it is a safe procedure in terms of mortality [18] and adverse effects [5, 17]. Considering adverse effects supports FI to be a safe and effective method.

Several papers state that FI contributes to lowering total procedure time and amount of contrast medium used. In terms of time our data are comparable with other research, but in our procedures considerably less contrast was used [5, 17]. Minimizing usage of contrast medium can be beneficial to patients suffering from renal failure who need LAAO. It is also possible to reduce the number of renal adverse effects related to percutaneous procedures. Creatinine levels of our patients suggest that the procedure inflicted low damage on renal function.

More safety matters can be brought up involving FI as it is stated that it can diminish the radiation dose given to the patient [19]. Lowering radiation exposure increases the safety of both patients and medical staff. For patients who need multiple percutaneous interventions in a short amount of time those benefits can be especially crucial and can greatly influence treatment success.

Fusion imaging is a technique successfully used in percutaneous heart procedures, especially in LAAO. Combining X-ray with ultrasound reduces the disadvantages of separate methods and provides a more precise view of heart structures allowing for better and faster treatment. FI decreases the duration of the procedure and the amount of contrast medium administered to the patient. Further comparative research should provide more advantages of this method.

Conclusions

The method of fusion imaging is believed to be better than the classical imaging method. It does not carry any additional risks for patients. Moreover, due to the possibility to reduce the radiation dose, it can be more beneficial. We recommend IF to be the standard visualization technique for LAAO procedures.

Disclosure

The authors report no conflict of interest.

References

- Kornej J, Börschel CS, Benjamin EJ, Schnabel RB. Epidemiology of atrial fibrillation in the 21st century: novel methods and new insights. Circ Res 2020; 127: 4-20.
- Hindricks G, Potpara T, Dagres N, Arbelo E, Bax J, Blomström-Lundqvist C, Boriani G, Castella M, Dan GA, Dilaveris PE, Fauchier L, Filippatos G, Kalman JM, Meir ML, Lane DA, Lebeau JP, Lettino M, Lip GY, Pinto FJ, Thomas GN, Valgimigli M, Van Gelder IC, Van Putte BP, Watkins CL; ESC Scientific Document Group. 2020 ESC Guidelines for the diagnosis and management of atrial fibrillation developed in collaboration with the European Association for Cardio-Thoracic Surgery (EACTS). Eur Heart J 2021; 42: 373-498.
- 3. Hinson JS, Ehmann MR, Fine DM, Fishman EK, Toerper MF, Rothman RE, Klein EY. Risk of acute kidney injury after intravenous contrast media administration. Ann Emerg Med 2017; 69: 577-586.e4.
- Litwinowicz R, Burysz M, Mazur P, Kapelak B, Bartus M, Lakkireddy D, Lee RJ, Malec-Litwinowicz M, Bartus K. Endocardial versus epicardial left atrial appendage exclusion for stroke prevention in patients with atrial fibrillation: midterm follow-up. J Cardiovasc Electrophysiol 2021; 32: 93-101.
- Afzal S, Piayda K, Hellhammer K, Veulemans V, Wolff G, Heidari H, Stüwe D, Kanschik D, Polzin A, Kelm M, Zeus T. Real-time echocardiography-fluoroscopy fusion imaging for left atrial appendage closure: prime time for fusion imaging? Acta Cardiol 2021; 76: 1004-1012.
- Balzer J, Zeus T, Veulemans V, Kelm M. Hybrid imaging in the catheter laboratory: real-time fusion of echocardiography and fluoroscopy during percutaneous structural heart disease interventions. Interv Cardiol Rev Res Resources 2016; 11: 59-64.
- 7. Glikson M, Wolff R, Hindricks G, Mandrola J, Camm AJ, Lip GYH, Fauchier L, Betts TR, Lewalter T, Saw J, Tzikas A, Sternik L, Nietlispach F, Berti S, Sie-

- vert H, Bertog S, Meier B; ESC Scientific Document Group. EHRA/EAPCI expert consensus statement on catheter-based left atrial appendage occlusion an update. Europace 2020; 22: 184.
- 8. Burysz M, Litwinowicz R, Bryndza M, Skowronek R, Ogorzeja W, Bartus K. Percutaneous left atrial appendage closure using the LAmbre device. First clinical results in Poland. Adv Interv Cardiol 2019; 15: 251-254.
- Słodowska KM, Batko J, Hołda JP, Dudkiewicz D, Koziej M, Litwinowicz R, Bartuś K, Hołda MK. Morphometrical features of left atrial appendage in the AF patients subjected to left atrial appendage closure. Folia Morphol (Warsz) 2023; 82: 814-821.
- Grygier M, Wojakowski W, Smolka G, Demkow M, Wąsek W, Sorysz D, Kralisz P, Bartuś K, Sukiennik A, Pracoń R, Witkowski A, Kowalski O, Legutko J. Left atrial appendage occlusion: consensus document of Association of Cardiovascular Interventions and Heart Rhythm Section of Polish Cardiac Society. Kardiol Pol 2018; 76: 677-697.
- Burysz M, Batko J, Olejek W, Piotrowski M, Litwinowicz R, Słomka A, Kowalewski M, Suwalski P, Bartuś K, Rams D. Morphology and anatomical classification of pericardial cavities: oblique and transverse sinuses. J Clin Med 2023; 12: 4320.
- 12. Batko J, Rams D, Filip G, Bartoszcze A, Kapelak B, Bartuś K, Litwinowicz R. Left atrial appendage morphology and course of the circumflex artery: anatomical implications for left atrial appendage occlusion procedures. Innovations 2022; 17: 424-429.
- 13. Słodowska K, Szczepanek E, Dudkiewicz D, Hołda J, Bolechała F, Strona M, Lis M, Batko J, Koziej M, Hołda MK. Morphology of the left atrial appendage: introduction of a new simplified shape-based classification system. Heart Lung Circ 2021; 30: 1014-1022.
- Hadeed K, Hascoët S, Karsenty C, Ratsimandresy M, Dulac Y, Chausseray G, Alacoque X, Fraisse A, Acar P. Usefulness of echocardiographic-fluoroscopic fusion imaging in children with congenital heart disease. Arch Cardiovasc Dis 2018; 111: 399-410.
- Balzer J, Zeus T, Blehm A, Westenfeld R, Lichtenberg A, Kelm M, Rassaf T. Intraprocedural online fusion of echocardiography and fluoroscopy during transapical mitral valve-in-valve implantation. Can J Cardiol 2015; 31: 364. e9-364.e11.
- Afzal S, Veulemans V, Kleinebrecht L, Stuewe D, Balzer J, Polzin A, Nijhof N, Kelm M, Zeus T, Hellhammer K. Fusion imaging during the interventional closure of patent foramen ovale and atrial septal defects: mandatory or superfluous? JACC Cardiovasc Imaging 2018; 11: 1543-1545.
- 17. Ebelt H, Domagala T, Offhaus A, Wiora M, Schwenzky A, Hoyme M, Anacker J, Röhl P. Fusion imaging of X-ray and transesophageal echocardiography improves the procedure of left atrial appendage closure. Cardiovascular Drugs Ther 2020; 34: 781-787.
- Burysz M, Litwinowicz R, Burysz A, Ogorzeja W, Bartuś K. Causes of death and morbidity in patients with atrial fibrillation after left atrial appendage occlusion. Kardiol Pol 2019; 77: 1047-1054.
- Jungen C, Zeus T, Balzer J, Eickholt C, Petersen M, Kehmeier E, Veuleman V, Kelm M, Willems S, Meyer C. Left atrial appendage closure guided by integrated echocardiography and fluoroscopy imaging reduces radiation exposure. PLoS One 2015; 10: e0140386.